

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/069,603		KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Hai Vo		1794	

All Participants:

(1) Hai Vo.

(2) Dr. Garth M. Dahlen.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

4-8, 20-24, and 52-57

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agrees to cancel claim 52 and amend other claims listed above in a manner as suggested by the examiner to place the instant claims in condition for allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hai Vo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: 41

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)